



RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Downgrades Energa to 'BBB-'; off RWN; Stable Outlook

Fri 29 May, 2020 - 11:02 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 29 May 2020: Fitch Ratings has downgraded Poland-based Energa S.A.'s Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) to 'BBB-' from 'BBB'. The ratings have been removed from Rating Watch Negative (RWN) and the Outlook is Stable. A full list of rating actions is below.

The rating actions reflect our assessment of the strong links between Energa and its new parent PKN ORLEN S.A. (BBB-/Stable), following a successful tender offer for Energa's shares, under Fitch's Parent and Subsidiary Linkage (PSL) Rating Criteria. As a result, Energa's ratings are constrained by those of the consolidated credit profile of PKN ORLEN.

Energa's standalone credit profile (SCP) remains at 'bbb' and is supported by a solid business profile, moderate historical leverage and the likely abandonment of the Ostroleka C project in a coal-fired formula.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Ratings at PKN ORLEN Level: PKN ORLEN's tender offer for Energa's shares was successfully completed in April 2020 on acquisition of 80% of capital and 85% of

voting rights. The large majority achieved by PKN ORLEN implies strong links between the companies, which will lead to unification of strategies, gradual integration of operations and consolidation of Energa's results and debt by PKN ORLEN. Consequently, Energa's ratings are constrained by those of the new parent.

Tender Offer Rationale: The rationale for the Energa acquisition includes expansion of PKN ORLEN's energy business, addition of stable EBITDA from electricity distribution, and a renewables portfolio together with capabilities in renewables development and electric-vehicle infrastructure. Some further opportunities include optimisation of the energy portfolio and cross-selling of products and services. The tender offer was conditional on, among others, consent from the European Commission and the response rate of at least 66% of voting rights in Energa's shareholders meeting, which have been achieved.

Distribution Supports Credit Profile: The SCP of Energa continues to reflect its focus on regulated distribution activity, its main business segment, which is characterised by lower business risk and greater cash-flow predictability than conventional power generation. Energa has a high EBITDA contribution from regulated electricity distribution (81% in 2019). We expect the share of regulated EBITDA to average 75% in 2020-2024, contributing to cash-flow visibility.

Old Ostroleka C Suspended: Energa, together with ENEA S.A. (BBB/Stable), suspended construction of the 1GW coal-fired power block Ostroleka C in February 2020 and decided to reconsider its fundamentals, including a potential switch of fuel to gas from coal. However, PKN ORLEN recently declared its preliminary readiness for a direct financial involvement in the Ostroleka C project only if the fuel is changed to gas from coal. We believe that this effectively means abandonment of the Ostroleka C project in the old, coal-fired formula, given that Energa and ENEA have been unable to find other investors for a coal-fired power block. The formal decisions are still to be taken.

New Ostroleka C Unclear: Change of fuel to gas from coal will significantly delay the project due to the necessity to build a pipeline delivering gas to Ostroleka C, restarting the project with a new EPC contractor selection process and potentially covering justified costs to the selected contractor for the coal-fired block. The SPV will need to withdraw from the 15-year capacity payments contract it has won with delivery from 2023, potentially paying some compensations. Although the new Ostroleka C project is currently only conceptual, it should be more profitable due to

the switch to gas and has a chance to attract external investors and adopt a project-finance formula, unlike the old coal-fired project.

Support from Capacity Market: Capacity payment for the Ostroleka C project will be severely delayed and depend on the decision regarding project implementation. However, Energa will still receive close to PLN200 million a year over 2021-2025 from capacity payments won by its other generation units, in particular Ostroleka B. Capacity payments are a quasi-regulated source of income, more reliable than selling electricity to the wholesale market. We project it will contribute around 10% to Energa's EBITDA and stabilise cash flows.

Negative Impact of Coronavirus: The coronavirus pandemic has lowered electricity demand in Poland in 2020, with a likely rebound from 2021. We expect Energa's all three business units will be hit, with supply being the hardest. Distribution will also be impacted as it does not benefit from an automatic volume-correction mechanism. We estimate that funds from operations (FFO) net leverage will peak at 4x in 2020, due to lower EBITDA on coronavirus impact, before decreasing to 3.5x over 2021-2023. Lower EBITDA in 2020 may also lead to a breach of Energa's net-debt-to-EBITDA (as per the company's definition) covenant of up to 3.5x. We expect Energa to either renegotiate the financing agreements or request a waiver.

DERIVATION SUMMARY

Energa's close peer group includes the three other electricity-focused integrated utilities in Poland, which are PGE Polska Grupa Energetyczna S.A. (PGE; BBB+/Stable), ENEA S.A. (BBB/Stable) and TAURON Polska Energia S.A. (Tauron; BBB-/Stable). Across central Europe a close peer is CEZ, a.s. (A-/Stable). All peers are rated on a standalone basis.

Energa's and Tauron's business profiles benefit from a large share of regulated distribution in EBITDA, which provides good cash-flow visibility when conventional generation and mining are under pressure.

PGE is the largest company among Polish peers and has the lowest leverage among the peer group. It derives most of its EBITDA from electricity generation and has a high share of lignite in the generation fuel mix, which provides the company with some cost advantage over hard coal-fired peers such as ENEA and Tauron. Rising

CO2 prices could diminish this cost advantage if not accompanied by high hard- coal prices, given the higher carbon footprint of lignite than hard coal.

ENEA has a lower share of regulated distribution than Energa and Tauron and at the same time higher exposure to hard coal-fired generation, but controls a low-cost mining business. The maximum leverage sensitivity for ENEA's 'BBB' rating is 3.0x.

CEZ' higher rating mainly reflects a low-cost generation fleet, a lower carbon footprint and lower leverage.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Weighted-average cost of capital in the distribution segment at 5.5% in 2020 and 4.7% average in 2021-2024
- Ostroleka C switches to gas-fired and is built in a project-finance formula
- Capex and acquisitions at PLN8.8 billion in 2020-2024; this includes Fitch-assumed PLN1 billion for construction of Ostroleka C, but any cash inflows from its operations are excluded
- Lower results in 2020 due to the pandemic, before rebounding from 2021
- Continuation of a no-dividend policy

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- Positive rating action on or upgrade of PKN ORLEN's ratings
- Weaker links with the parent accompanied by a stronger SCP than the parent

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Negative rating action on or downgrade of PKN ORLEN's ratings
- Problems in getting a waiver in case of a covenant breach
- FFO net leverage above 3.5x or FFO interest cover below 6x on a sustained basis, for example, due to prolonged economic downturn, higher capex or reinstatement of dividends, would be negative for the SCP

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Non-Financial Corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Adequate Liquidity: At end-2019 Energa had PLN1.4 billion of unrestricted cash and equivalents and PLN2.8 billion of undrawn committed credit lines against debt maturities of PLN2.5 billion in 2020 and PLN0.4 billion in 2021. Fitch-calculated free cash flow after acquisitions is a negative PLN1.5 billion in 2020 and positive at PLN0.3 billion in 2021.

The main debt maturity in 2020 was the EUR500 million Eurobond, which was repaid in March mostly from a PLN2 billion consortium loan. As per Fitch rating case Energa has sufficient liquidity until end-2021.

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL ADJUSTMENTS

Equity credit of 50% allocated to hybrid bonds, and debt value adjusted for hedging.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

Energa is credit-linked to PKN ORLEN.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity(ies), either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity(ies). For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		
Energa S.A.	LT IDR	BBB-	Downgrade
	LC LT IDR	BBB-	Downgrade
	Natl LT	A(pol)	Downgrade
● senior unsecured	LT	BBB-	Downgrade
● subordinated	LT	BB	Downgrade

**ENTITY/DEBT RATING**[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)**FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS****Arkadiusz Wicik, CFA**

Senior Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+48 22 338 6286

Fitch Polska SA Krolewska 16, 00-103 Warsaw

Artur Galbarczyk

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+48 22 338 6291

Antonio Totaro

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+39 02 879087 297

MEDIA CONTACTS**Adrian Simpson**

London

+44 20 3530 1010

adrian.simpson@thefitchgroup.com

Malgorzata Socharska

Warsaw

+48 22 338 6281

malgorzata.socharska@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com**APPLICABLE CRITERIA**[National Scale Ratings Criteria \(pub. 18 Jul 2018\)](#)[Parent and Subsidiary Rating Linkage \(pub. 27 Sep 2019\)](#)

[Corporates Notching and Recovery Ratings Criteria \(pub. 14 Oct 2019\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporate Hybrids Treatment and Notching Criteria \(pub. 11 Nov 2019\)](#)

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub. 01 May 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v7.9.0 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Enea Finance AB (publ)

EU Issued

Enea S.A.

EU Issued

DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE

AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

[READ LESS](#)

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and

predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which

authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Corporate Finance Utilities and Power Europe Poland Sweden

